



CAROLINA
UNIVERSITY

Leadership Styles, Motivations, and Behavior LEA 601 Fall 2025 Syllabus

PROFESSOR: Trent Craven, Ph.D.

Office Location: Online

Office Hours: By Appointment via Microsoft Teams

Phone: (336) 488-9692 (Please text before calling)

Email Address: cravenz@carolinau.edu

Carolina University Mission Statement

We are a Christ-centered university committed to student success.

Course Information

Course Prerequisites

None

Course Description

This course examines leadership styles ranging from charismatic to servant and develops knowledge about the motivations of leaders and followers. It examines positive and negative leadership behaviors employing theoretical roots from a variety of disciplinary approaches including sociology and psychology.

Course Delivery and Methods

This course will utilize journal articles, scholarly research, professor lectures, and learner interaction through weekly discussion questions, academic research, and knowledge assessments.



Course Learning Outcomes

Upon completion of this course, students will be able to:

1. Investigate the relationship between leader behaviors and practices and their alignment with diverse leadership models.
2. Dive into the intricacies of leadership competencies and the spectrum of motivational strategies.
3. Curate scholarly sources for a comprehensive literature review, laying the groundwork for an upcoming dissertation.
4. Critically evaluate extant scholarly works to hone the research question for a forthcoming dissertation project.

Course Resources

Required Texts:

All necessary text will be found in each week's course module, and the bibliography source will be located at the end of this text. Please note that you must only read the materials provided in each week's module. Any additional texts provided in this course are optional.

Required Resources:

1. APA 7th Edition:
 - a. Parenthetical Versus Narrative In-Text Citations <https://apastyle.apa.org/style-grammar-guidelines/citations/basic-principles/parenthetical-versus-narrative>
 - b. Paraphrasing: <https://apastyle.apa.org/style-grammar-guidelines/citations/paraphrasing>
 - c. Quotations: <https://apastyle.apa.org/style-grammar-guidelines/citations/quotations>
 - d. References: <https://apastyle.apa.org/style-grammar-guidelines/references>
 - e. <https://apastyle.apa.org/style-grammar-guidelines/paper-format>
 - f. Additional APA resources:
https://owl.purdue.edu/owl/research_and_citation/apa_style/apa_formatting_and_style_guide/in_text_citations_the_basics.html
2. The Art of Paraphrasing: Avoid Plagiarism:
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=H1qo10dG5Gw>
3. Scholarly Writing Webinar: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rNBaSNl-u4>

Recommended Resources:

Recite Works: <https://reciteworks.com/>

Course Requirements and Assignments

Week 1: Foundations of Leadership

Weekly Focus: Explore the evolution of leadership theories (Great Man, Trait, Behavioral, Contingency) and the interdisciplinary foundations of leadership in psychology, sociology, and management.

Required Readings:

- History and Evolution of Leadership Studies
- Leadership Theories and Styles—A Systematic Literature Review
- Leadership: Past, Present, and Future—An Evolution of an Idea

Deliverables This Week:

Assignment	Description	Due Date
Discussion Forum	Respond to both questions using the required readings (minimum 3 citations). Respond to 1 peer.	Initial: Wed 11:30 PM; Response: Sun 11:30 PM
Peer-Learning Activity	Participate in in-class oral discussion (no writing required). Reflect on theory relevance and changes.	During Class
Research Paper	Submit a 5–6 page paper analyzing the historical and applied evolution of leadership theories. Follow APA 7th formatting.	Sun 11:30 PM

Week 2: Trait and Behavioral Theories

Focus: Examine traits and behaviors associated with effective leadership. Analyze the Big Five personality traits and compare trait-based and behavior-based approaches.

Required Reading:

1. Big Five Model Personality Traits and Job Burnout - A Systematic Literature Review
2. Effective Leadership From the Perspective of Trait
3. Leadership Evolved - From Innate Traits to Learned Behaviors
4. Review of Study of Behavioral Approach to Leadership

Deliverables:

Assignment	Description	Due Date
Discussion Forum	Respond to DQ1 and DQ2; cite 3 sources; respond to 1 peer	Initial Post: Wed 11:30 PM Peer Reply: Sun 11:30 PM
Peer-Learning Activity	Oral group discussion + brief peer evaluation	End of Week 2
Written Assignment	Case Study Response – Evaluating Leadership Traits in a Public Figure Using a Trait-Based Framework	End of Week 2



Week 3: Transformational, Charismatic, and Transactional Leadership

Focus: Analyze high-impact leadership styles and their emotional and psychological impact on leaders and followers.

Required Reading:

1. Charismatic and Transactional Leadership and Employee Engagement
2. Influence of Leadership Styles on Employees' Psychological Health
3. Transformational Leadership Behaviors and Emotional Intelligence
4. Transformational vs. Transactional Leadership: Meta-Analysis

Deliverables:

Assignment	Description	Due Date
Discussion Forum	Respond to DQ; cite 3 sources; reply to 1 peer	Initial Post: Wed 11:30 PM Peer Reply: Sun 11:30 PM
Peer-Learning Activity	Oral group discussion + brief peer evaluation	Sun 11:30 PM
Research Paper	Leadership Style Preference	Sun 11:30 PM

Week 4: Servant and Authentic Leadership

Focus: Explore relational leadership theories and ethical decision-making.

Required Reading:

1. Servant Leadership, Authentic Leadership, POS, and Agile Culture
2. Ethical Leadership and Followership Dimensions
3. Ethical Leadership and Follower Autonomy in the Public Sector
4. Servant Leadership and Authentic Leadership as Job Resources

Deliverables:

Assignment	Description	Due Date
Discussion Forum	Respond to DQ; cite 3 sources; reply to 1 peer	Initial Post: Wed 11:30 PM Peer Reply: Sun 11:30 PM
Peer-Learning Activity	Oral group discussion + brief peer evaluation	Sun 11:30 PM
Research Paper	Leadership Evaluation – Exploring Servant or Authentic Leadership Through Archival Analysis	Sun 11:30 PM



Week 5: Leader-Follower Dynamics and Motivation

Focus: Analyze motivation and power dynamics using Maslow, Herzberg, and SDT. Explore followership and power distribution in leadership.

Required Reading:

1. Theories of Motivation: Human Behavior Drivers
2. SDT and Learning Engagement in E-Learning
3. Comparison of Maslow, Herzberg, and McClelland's Theories

Deliverables:

Assignment	Description	Due Date
Discussion Forum	Respond to DQ; cite 3 sources; reply to 1 peer	Initial Post: Wed 11:30 PM Peer Reply: Sun 11:30 PM
Peer-Learning Activity	Oral group discussion + brief peer evaluation	Sun 11:30 PM
Oral Presentation	Motivation Profile Analysis of a Real-World Leader	Sun 11:30 PM

Week 6: Situational Leadership Theory (Hersey-Blanchard Model)

Focus: Learn to apply the Situational Leadership Theory by assessing follower readiness and adapting leadership styles accordingly.

Required Reading:

1. Analysis of Hersey and Blanchard's Leadership Model
2. SLT in Nursing Management
3. SLT in Project Management

Deliverables:

Assignment	Description	Due Date
Discussion Forum	Respond to DQ1 & DQ2; cite 3 sources; reply to 1 peer	Initial Post: Wed 11:30 PM Peer Reply: Sun 11:30 PM
Peer-Learning Activity	Oral group discussion + brief peer evaluation	Sun 11:30 PM



Week 7: Leadership in Practice—Multicultural, Real-World, and Crisis Contexts

Focus: Apply leadership theory in complex real-world and multicultural contexts. Emphasis on adaptive leadership, crisis management, and cultural awareness.

Required Reading:

1. Cross-Cultural Crisis Leadership and Resilience
2. Leadership Development in Higher Education
3. Self- and Interpersonal Growth
4. Adaptive Leadership for Health Educators

Deliverables:

Assignment	Description	Due Date
Discussion Forum	Respond to DQ; cite 3 sources; reply to 1 peer	Initial Post: Wed 11:30 PM Peer Reply: Sun 11:30 PM
Peer-Learning Activity	Oral group discussion + brief peer evaluation	Sun 11:30 PM
Oral Presentation	Leadership in Pop Culture: Leading Through Complexity	Sun 11:30 PM

Course Schedule

Class Dates: Tue, 6:30 PM - 7:45 PM (8/18/2025 - 10/5/2025) Location: MAIN DEEDS D201

Assignment Due Dates:

Assignment	Due
Initial Discussion Question Post	Wednesday before 11:30 PM
Written Assignments	Sundays before 11:30 PM
Discussion Replies	Sundays before 11:30 PM
Peer-Evaluations (On Campus Students Only)	Sundays before 11:30 PM

Course Assessment Mapping

Assessment	Objective(s) Met
Week 1 – Discussion Forum: Foundations of leadership theories	CLO 1
Week 1 – Peer Learning Activity: In-class discussion on theory evolution	CLO 1
Week 1 – Research Paper: Evolution of leadership theories	CLO 1, CLO 3
Week 2 – Discussion Forum: Trait vs. behavioral leadership	CLO 1
Week 2 – Peer Learning Activity: Oral group discussion + peer evaluation	CLO 1, CLO 3
Week 2 – Case Study Response	CLO 3
Week 3 – Discussion Forum: Emotional/psychological impact of leadership	CLO 2
Week 3 – Peer Learning Activity: Oral group discussion	CLO 2
Week 3 – Research Paper: Leadership Style Preference	CLO 2, CLO 4
Week 4 – Discussion Forum: Ethical dimensions of servant/authentic leadership	CLO 1, CLO 2
Week 4 – Peer Learning Activity: Oral group discussion	CLO 2
Week 4 – Research Paper: Leadership Evaluation	CLO 1, CLO 2, CLO 3
Week 5 – Discussion Forum: Motivation and power theories	CLO 2
Week 5 – Peer Learning Activity: Oral group discussion	CLO 2
Week 5 – Oral Presentation: Motivation Profile Analysis of a Real-World Leader	CLO 2, CLO 4
Week 6 – Discussion Forum: Situational leadership and application	CLO 1
Week 6 – Peer Learning Activity: Oral group discussion	CLO 1
Week 7 – Discussion Forum: Real-world, multicultural, and crisis leadership	CLO 1, CLO 2
Week 7 – Peer Learning Activity: Oral group discussion	CLO 1, CLO 2
Oral Presentation: Leadership in Pop Culture: Leading Through Complexity	CLO 1, CLO 2, CLO 4

Course Grading

Assignment Type	Weight
Written Assignments (Paper)	50%
Discussion Questions and Replies (Participation)	20%
Oral Presentation (Exam)	30%
Total	100%



University Grading Scale

Grade	Point Value	Range	
		Undergraduate	Graduate
A	4	94-100	96-100
A-	3.7	90-93	93-95
B+	3.3	87-89	90-92
B	3	83-86	87-89
B-	2.7	80-82	85-86
C+	2.3	77-79	82-84
C	2	73-76	79-81
C-	1.7	70-72	77-78
D+	1.3	67-69	74-76
D	1	60-66	70-73
F	0	<60	<70

Grading of assignments and substantive feedback is to be done within 3 days of the due date for regular assignments and 7 days for larger assignments.

Some assignments may require additional time to grade due to the length of the project and the directive to provide substantive feedback that will assist you throughout the learning process.

In cases where the assignment is not returned with feedback within the stated period, refer to communication from your professor to facilitate expectations on subsequent assignments. Students are not expected to apply adjustments on subsequent assignments in advance of returned grading and feedback.



Professional Interaction & Communication

- Please use email whenever possible. Only university email and related systems should be used for institutional communications. Do not use personal email or video conferencing accounts.
- You should check email regularly, especially when enrolled in an active course.
 - Use subject lines appropriately. It is helpful to include the name of the course (including sections) whenever possible. Avoid using the subject line as the body of the email—include full details in the message itself to ensure clear communication.
 - Refer to professors and CU employees by their last names with appropriate honorifics (e.g., “Dr.” or “Professor”).
 - University communications (including emails and discussion board posts, etc.) should not resemble text message, chat, or social media posts. Use complete sentences with correct capitalization, spelling, punctuation, and grammar.
- Throughout an active course, faculty should respond to all emails and voicemail messages within 24 hours. Faculty and staff are not obligated to respond to communications where these guidelines are not followed.
- When participating in classes or meetings, be on-time and mentally present. Dress according to the Student Handbook or classroom standards and conduct yourself in a professional manner. Do not introduce distractions into the class and be prepared to stay for the duration of the session per normal classroom behavior.

Course Attendance and Participation

All courses follow specific attendance policies found in the [Academic Catalog](#) for that course level and format. It is the student’s responsibility to be familiar with these policies and to keep track of their own attendance. Per the university attendance policy, accrued absences may contribute negatively toward a student’s final grade. Attendance and participation may be used by instructors to determine a portion of a student’s grade for a particular course. Whereas attendance is typically defined by statuses identifying a student’s presence in a class, participation typically includes the assessment of activity within that course. In some cases, the methodology, subject matter, learning environment, or other factors may require attendance.

Physical, in-person class attendance will be recorded for hybrid courses, whereas attendance for online courses will be gauged by regular academic engagement. Students should refer to the course syllabus for the course’s grade weighting table and course-specific policies regarding the grade percentages attributable to each component in a course, which may include attendance and participation. It is the student’s responsibility to be familiar with these policies and to keep track of their own attendance and comply with the rules.

Hybrid course attendance must be entered weekly (daily for modules) and be accurate.



Course Specific Policies

Discussion Questions (DQ) Grading Criteria:

1. **Participation Points:** You must reply to at least one classmate's "initial" posts. Also, replies must be between 150-250 words. Responses can be based on personal experience and not research.
2. **Paragraph Structure:** A post written at the master's level should have a clear topic sentence introducing the main point. This is followed by supporting evidence from credible sources; this analysis should connect the proof to your argument and a concluding sentence that transitions smoothly to the next paragraph. A "claim, evidence," and analysis" structure is "commonly used, ensuring that each paragraph contributes meaningfully to your thesis.
3. **Logical Flow/APA:** Each section is written well-structured, has a logical flow, uses correct paragraph structure, uses proper sentence structure, uses correct punctuation, and uses correct APA format.
4. **References:** Use the required reading to answer the DQs.

Student Peer-Assessment: Peer Learning Activity

1. **Select a Peer:** Choose one group member to evaluate based on their participation in today's peer-learning activity.
2. **Assess Participation:** Reflect on how this individual contributed to the group's work and discussions. Consider the relevance and thoughtfulness of their input.
3. **Evaluate Concept Use:** Assess how effectively they applied course concepts during the activity. Did they demonstrate understanding and connection to the material?
4. **Problem-Solving & Collaboration:** Evaluate their engagement in addressing challenges. Were they proactive in suggesting solutions and supporting group collaboration?
5. **Provide Feedback:** Share constructive feedback, noting specific strengths and areas where they could improve. Please keep your comments respectful and focused on their contributions.
6. **Submit Your Evaluation:** Follow the instructions to complete your evaluation.

Writing Assignments Grading Criteria. In addition to the criteria, each writing assignment must meet the following standards:

1. **Introduction:** Provide an introduction with a brief overview of the topic and why this topic is worth studying. Using the assignment criteria, provide the purpose of this paper and identify the themes that emerge from your reading. Conclude with a thesis statement.
2. **Conclusion:** Review all the articles together to identify a clear conclusion. Look for a common theme or insight shared among the articles. Note how each article contributes to this common idea, highlighting key points and supporting evidence. Analyze the articles as a group to deepen your understanding of the topic. Reflect on the overall message and its importance regarding the issues discussed.
3. **Paragraph Structure:** Each paragraph should start with a clear topic sentence that presents the main idea or argument. Follow this with supporting sentences that provide evidence, examples, or explanations, including relevant data and citations. Use transitional phrases to connect ideas smoothly, helping the reader understand the relationships between concepts. Conclude with a sentence summarizing the main point or transitioning to the next paragraph, reinforcing its significance. Keep each section focused on a single idea to avoid



confusing the reader. Use formal academic language and maintain a scholarly tone throughout your writing.

4. **Logical Flow/APA:** Each section is written well-structured, has a logical flow, uses correct paragraph structure, uses proper sentence structure, uses correct punctuation, and uses correct APA format.

5. **References:** Students can use books, dissertations, peer-reviewed articles, conference proceedings, and technical reports. 75% of the references used must be within the last five years. Additionally, students must use articles other than the "required reading" articles in this syllabus.

6. **Similarity Index:** The similarity index shall be no higher than 15%.

Late Work Policy: Late assignments will be accepted up to **2 days after the due date** with a **10% penalty per day**. After 2 days, the assignment will receive a zero unless prior arrangements have been made due to documented emergencies. Participation posts must be made during the assigned week to receive credit.

Use of Artificial Intelligence

The use of generative AI tools is permitted in this class for the following activities:

- Brainstorming and refining ideas
- Fine-tuning research questions
- Finding information on a topic
- Drafting an outline
- Checking grammar and style
- AI-specific assignments (per the provided instructions)

The use of generative AI tools is not permitted for the following activities:

- Impersonation in classroom context (e.g. composing discussion board posts)
- Completing group work
- Writing a draft of a writing assignment
- Writing entire sentences, paragraphs, or papers to complete class assignments

Disability Assistance

Carolina University welcomes students, faculty, staff and visitors with disabilities to our campus and to our programs. Our goal at CU is to ensure an accessible, inclusive welcoming learning and working environment for individuals with disabilities while complying with federal and state regulations.

Students with disabilities are encouraged to reach out to University Accessibility Services (UAS) as soon as possible to explore possible accommodations. UAS serves as a central resource on disability-related information, procedures and services for the university community and partners will work with the student and any other campus partners to identify barriers and implement plans for access. More information about UAS can be found at <https://carolinau.edu/university-accessibility-services>



Academic Integrity and Misconduct

The Student Handbook has a detailed list of different ways students show a lack of academic integrity, including academic technology misuse, cheating, complicity, fabrication or invention, falsification, forgery, multiple submissions, plagiarism, and sabotage.

Academic integrity is the honest and responsible conduct of studies, scholarship, research, information collection, and presentation. The university expects students to submit assignments that are original to them and to properly cite and reference other peoples' ideas using the prescribed style guide. The very foundation of a good university education is academic integrity. Learning how to express original ideas, cite sources, work independently, and report results accurately and honestly are skills that carry students beyond their academic careers. If a student is uncertain about an issue of academic honesty, they should consult the faculty member to resolve questions in any situation prior to the submission of the academic exercise.

Maintaining your academic integrity involves:

- Creating and expressing your own ideas in course work.
- Acknowledging all sources of information including verbal, written, digital, and graphic.
- Completing assignments independently or acknowledging collaboration.
- Attending classes, exams, and required academic events.
- Accurately reporting results when conducting your own research.
- Honesty during examinations.
- Not tampering with or misusing technology.
- Not aiding or abetting other students in violating any academic rules or policies.

Courses at Carolina University will utilize proctoring for select exams to ensure exam integrity. Per Carolina University directives, all exams that represent 25% or more of a course grade are required to be proctored. Instances of cheating or inappropriate behavior will be considered violations of the Academic Integrity policy and will result in disciplinary action.

Plagiarism is the use of another person's distinctive ideas or words without acknowledgment. All researchers are expected to acknowledge the use of another author's words by the use of quotation marks around those words in the text of a paper and by appropriate citations. Plagiarism can occur in an oral, written, or media project submitted for academic credit or for some other benefit.

Examples of plagiarism include (but are not limited to), the following:

- Word-for-word copying of another person's ideas or words;
- Mosaic (interspersing of one's own words here and there while, in essence, copying another's work);
- Paraphrasing without citation (the rewriting of another's work, yet still using their fundamental idea or theory);
- Submission of another's work as one's own;
- Having another person write a paper;
- Buying or procuring a ready-made paper from a research paper "service" on the Internet or from another such service;
- Neglecting quotation marks on material that is otherwise acknowledged;
- Fabrication of references (inventing or counterfeiting sources)



Appeals & Complaints

Informal Resolution

Carolina University seeks to provide an excellent educational experience for all students. If a student wishes to make a complaint of an academic nature, in the first instance, they should seek to resolve the matter by informal discussion with the faculty member.

If the discussion is in person, it is recommended that the student follow up with an email summarizing the discussion (complaint and resolution if one is reached).

If the discussion with the faculty member does not resolve the issue, the student may also contact your school dean. If your professor is the dean, the next step is to begin the formal resolution process.

Formal Resolution

If informal discussions do not resolve the matter, students have the right to file a formal complaint. The university's academic catalog includes a detailed description of processes for submitting [grade appeals](#) or [academic complaints](#) that range from teaching, learning, assessment, grading, or student performance in a course. While these processes differ, both are time-sensitive and begin with timely communication with the faculty member.

Grade appeals must be initiated with the faculty member within 5 days of the awarding of the grade or status. Both grade appeals and academic complaints must be filed within 7 days of the awarding of grade or status or after the events that are alleged to have caused the complaint. In either case, supporting evidence will be required. The processes are fully outlined in [the academic catalog](#) and include links to the appropriate forms.



BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Ambo, K. (2023). Leadership evolved: From innate traits to learned behaviors. *Perspectives in Social Sciences and Arts*, 1(3), 1–9.
2. Angelini, G. (2023). Big five model personality traits and job burnout: A systematic literature review. *BMC Psychology*, 11(1), 1–35. <https://10.1186/s40359-023-01056-y>
3. Aunin, J., Lüde, P., Sander, I., Vogel, R., & Wiesner, J. (2024). Perceived ethical leadership and follower outcomes in the public sector: The moderating effect of followers' need for autonomy. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 47(4), 986–1013. <https://10.1080/15309576.2024.2359570>
4. Baah, R. G. (2025). Leadership in turbulent times: A cross-cultural analysis of crisis management and organizational resilience. *Proceedings of the Global Conference on Business, Management, and Marketing*, 2(1), 1–11. <https://10.33422/bmmconf.v2i1.863>
5. Balam, S., Munankarmi, K., Koirala, D., Timsina, B., Koirala, P., & Chudal, J. (2024). Charismatic and transactional leadership and employee engagement: Moderating effect of level of education. *International Journal of Innovative Business Strategies*, 10(2), 745–757. <https://10.20533/ijibs.2046.3626.2024.0091>
6. Bandhu, D., Mohan, M. M., Nittala, N. A. P., Jadhav, P., Bhaduria, A., & Saxena, K. K. (2024). Theories of motivation: A comprehensive analysis of human behavior drivers. *Acta Psychologica*, 244, 104177. <https://10.1016/j.actpsy.2024.104177>
7. Ejaz, A., Quratlain, S., Aulakh, A. H., Cando-Naranjo, J., & Sabharwal, M. (2025). The conditional effects of the transformational leadership behaviors on leaders' emotional exhaustion: Roles of deep acting and emotional intelligence. *American Review of Public Administration*, 55(1), 3–27. <https://10.1177/02750740241273978>
8. Gutterman, A. (2023). History and evolution of leadership studies. *SSRN Electronic Journal*, <https://10.2139/ssrn.4552091>
9. Hakim, A. L., Faizah, E. N., & Mas'adah, N. (2021). Analysis of leadership style by using the model of hersey and blanchard. *Journal of Leadership in Organizations*, 3(2), 138–148. <https://10.22146/jlo.64390>
10. Hunt, T., & Fedynich, L. C. (2018). Leadership: Past, present, and future: An evolution of an idea. *Journal of Arts & Humanities*, 8(2), 20–26. <https://10.18533/journal.v8i2.1582>
11. Jamil, S., & Shumaila Hashim. (2023). Effect of ethical leadership on followership dimension. *Administrative and Management Sciences Journal*, 2(1), 54–64. [https://10.59365/amsj.2\(1\).2023.63](https://10.59365/amsj.2(1).2023.63)
12. Judge, T. A., & Piccolo, R. F. (2004). Transformational and transactional leadership. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(5), 755–768. <https://10.1037/0021-9010.89.5.755>
13. Kim, T., You, &, Yen-Yoo, Hong, &, & Jung-Wan. (2021). A study on the relationship among servant leadership, authentic leadership, perceived organizational support(POS), and agile culture using PLS-SEM: Mediating effect of POS. *Ilköğretim Online*, 20(3), 784–795. <https://10.17051/ilkonline.2021.03.84>
14. London, M., Sessa, V. I., & Shelley, L. A. (2023). Developing self-awareness: Learning processes for self- and interpersonal growth. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 10(1), 261–288. <https://10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-120920-044531>
15. Maduforo, A. N., Scott, S., & Scott, D. (2024). Leadership development for contemporary post-secondary academic leaders: Challenges, content and approach. *SAGE Open*, 14(2), 1–20. <https://10.1177/21582440241253647>



16. McKimm, J., Ramani, S., Forrest, K., Bishop, J., Findyartini, A., Mills, C., Hassanien, M., Al-Hayani, A., Jones, P., Nadarajah, V. D., & Radu, G. (2023). Adaptive leadership during challenging times: Effective strategies for health professions educators: AMEE guide no. 148. *Medical Teacher*, 45(2), 128–138. <https://10.1080/0142159X.2022.2057288>
17. Nanjundeswaraswamy, T. S., Nagesh, P., Bharath, S., & Vignesh, K. M. (2024). Leadership theories and styles—A systematic literature review and the narrative synthesis. *Human Resources Management and Services*, 6(3), 1–12. <https://10.18282/hrms.v6i3.3477>
18. Ngwu, M. C., Ekeowa, L., Iloafu, K., Benneth, C., Arukwe, M. C., Ejike, J. I., Ekeowa Kelvin-Iloafu, L., Nwankwo, C. E., Nkwonta, N. C., & Benneth Iloka, C. (2023). Influence of leadership styles on employees' psychological health: Mediating role of mindfulness. *Journal of Data Acquisition and Processing*, 38(2), 2617–2637. <https://10.5281/zenodo.778218>
19. Ortiz-Gómez, M., Molina-Sánchez, H., Ariza-Montes, A., & de los Ríos-Berjillos, A. (2022). Servant leadership and authentic leadership as job resources for achieving workers' subjective well-being among organizations based on values. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management*, 15, 2621–2638. <https://10.2147/PRBM.S371300>
20. Osemeke, M., & Adegboyega, S. (2017). Critical review and comparism between maslow, herzberg and McClelland's theory of needs. *Funai Journal of Accounting, Business and Finance (Fujabf)*, 1(1), 161–173.
21. Podgórska, M., & Detko, Ł. (2023). Situational leadership in project management: Empirical research of project managers. *Scientific Papers of Silesian University of Technology Organization and Management Series*, 2023(168) <https://10.29119/1641-3466.2023.168.26>
22. Purohit, R. (2020). Review on study of behavioral approach to leadership. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications (IJSRP)*, 11(1), 170–173. <https://10.29322/IJSRP.11.01.2021.p10916>
23. Verawati, D. M., & Hartono, B. (2020). Effective leadership: From the perspective of trait theory and behavior theory. *Jurnal Riset Ekonomi Manajemen (REKOMEN)*, 4(1), 13–23. <https://10.31002/rn.v4i1.2147>
24. Wang, X., Liu, Y., Peng, Z., Li, B., Liang, Q., Liao, S., & Liu, M. (2024). Situational leadership theory in nursing management: A scoping review. *BMC Nursing*, 23(1), 930–16. <https://10.1186/s12912-024-02582-9>
25. Yang, Y., Chen, J., & Zhuang, X. (2025). Self-determination theory and the influence of social support, self-regulated learning, and flow experience on student learning engagement in self-directed e-learning. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16, 1545980. <https://10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1545980>